# Documentation Platform Evaluation Template

Platform: [Docusaurus / GitBook / Outline]

Evaluator: [Your Name]

Date: [Date]

Test Duration: [X hours/days]

## Executive Summary

Overall Rating: \_\_\_/10

Key Strengths:

Key Weaknesses:

Recommendation: [Recommended / Not Recommended / Conditional]

Reason:

## 1. Content Migration Testing

### Migration Test Setup

Source Content Used:

Confluence page with text and images

Page with code blocks and syntax highlighting

Page with tables and lists

Page with attachments/files

Total pages tested: \_\_\_

### Migration Process

Time Required: \_\_\_ minutes

Steps Taken:  
1.  
2.  
3.

Migration Quality Assessment:  
Content TypeTransferred SuccessfullyIssues EncounteredQuality Rating (1-5)

Text formattingYes/No

ImagesYes/No

Code blocksYes/No

TablesYes/No

ListsYes/No

LinksYes/No

Screenshots:  
 Before (Confluence): [Attach screenshot]  
 After (Platform): [Attach screenshot]

Migration Notes:

## 2. Developer Workflow Testing

Test Scenario: Creating New Documentation

Task: Create identical API documentation page in platform

Content Created:  
 Page title and description  
 Code examples (3+ languages)  
 Step-by-step instructions with numbered lists  
 Warning/info callout boxes  
 Table of endpoint parameters  
 Images/diagrams

### Workflow Assessment

Time to Complete: \_\_\_ minutes

Ease of Use Rating: \_\_\_/10

Publishing Process:  
1.  
2.  
3.

Content Creation Experience:

Code syntax highlightingYes/No

Image upload/embeddingYes/No

Table creationYes/No

Lists (numbered/bulleted)Yes/No

Callout boxes/alertsYes/No

Link creationYes/No

Developer-Friendly Features:  
  
 Markdown support  
 WYSIWYG editor  
 Live preview  
 Keyboard shortcuts  
 Version control/history

Pain Points Encountered:

## 3. Basic Cost Analysis

Pricing Structure

Free Tier:  
 Users allowed: \_\_\_  
 Features included:  
 Limitations:

Paid Tier(s):  
 Cost per user/month: $\_\_\_  
 Cost for 30 users/month: $\_\_\_  
 Additional features:

Total 3-Year Cost Estimate:  
 Platform: $\_\_\_  
 Hosting (if applicable): $\_\_\_  
 Total: $\_\_\_

Cost Comparison Notes

## 4. Technical Integration Assessment

ACR Component Library Integration  
Test Conducted: [Describe what you tested]

Integration Method:  
 Direct component import  
 Custom HTML/CSS embedding  
 Theming/styling options  
 Not possible

Integration Quality: \_\_\_/10  
Steps Required:  
1.  
2.  
3.

Customization Potential:  
 Can match ACR branding colors  
 Can add custom logo/headers  
 Can modify navigation structure  
 Can add custom fonts  
 Can embed ACR Master Components

Notes:

Azure/Microsoft Integration

Authentication Options:  
 Azure AD/SSO possible  
 GitHub integration  
 Microsoft Teams integration  
 Other: \_\_\_

Hosting Options:  
 Platform-hosted only  
 Self-hosting possible  
 Azure-compatible hosting  
 CDN/performance optimization

Integration Notes:

## 5. Stakeholder Feedback Collection

Developer Interview Results  
Interviewees: [Names or "Developer 1, 2, 3"]

Questions Asked:  
"Rate the ease of creating content (1-10):"  
"Would you actually use this platform daily?"  
"Biggest concerns about this platform:"  
"Compared to current system, this is:"

Feedback Summary:  
QuestionDeveloper 1Developer 2Developer 3Average  
Ease of use (1-10)  
Would use daily (Y/N)  
Vs. current system

Key Quotes:  
"..."  
"..."  
"..."

Common Concerns:

Positive Feedback:

## Detailed Feature Analysis

Content Handling Quality

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Code syntax highlighting

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Image/media handling

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Table creation & editing

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Search functionality

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Mobile responsiveness

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Page loading speed

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Navigation structure

FeatureRating (1-5)Notes  
Content organization

User Experience

AspectRating (1-5)Notes  
Initial setup complexity

AspectRating (1-5)Notes  
Learning curve for new users

AspectRating (1-5)Notes  
Content creation speed

AspectRating (1-5)Notes  
Publishing workflow

AspectRating (1-5)Notes  
Collaboration features

AspectRating (1-5)Notes  
Version control/history

## Final Assessment

Pros

Cons

Best Use Case for This Platform

Deal Breakers (if any)

Overall Score Breakdown  
Ease of Use: \_\_\_/10  
Content Migration: \_\_\_/10  
Feature Completeness: \_\_\_/10  
Cost Value: \_\_\_/10  
ACR Integration Potential: \_\_\_/10

Total Average: \_\_\_/10

Recommendation for ACR  
[ ] Highly Recommended - Best choice for ACR's needs  
[ ] Recommended - Good option with minor reservations  
[ ] Conditional - Recommend only if [conditions]  
[ ] Not Recommended - Does not meet ACR's requirements

Reasoning: